

Nickel- and Rhodium-Catalyzed Addition of Terminal Silylacetylenes to Propargyl Amines: Catalyst-Dependent Complementary Regioselectivity

Naoto Matsuyama, Koji Hirano, Tetsuya Satoh, and Masahiro Miura*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

miura@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

Received March 3, 2009



The cross-addition of terminal silylacetylenes to γ -arylated propargyl amines occurs efficiently via C–H cleavage by using either a nickel or rhodium catalyst. Taking advantage of the catalyst-controlled switching of regioselectivity in the reaction, both the 2- and 3-alkynylallylamines are readily accessible from the same starting materials.

The transition metal-catalyzed addition of terminal alkyne C–H bonds to carbon–carbon triple bonds ranks as the most simple and straightforward access to π -conjugated enynes, which are versatile building blocks in organic synthesis.¹ In particular, the catalytic homodimerization of terminal alkynes directed toward the selective synthesis of one of the three possible (E)-,² (Z)-,³ and gem-⁴enyne isomers have been extensively studied. On the other hand, the selective cross-dimerization between terminal alkynes and internal ones is much more challenging since the terminal alkynes are prone to undergo the rapid homodimerization and oligomerization in the presence of transition metal catalysts. In 1987, Trost reported the highly selective palladium-catalyzed cross-dimerization with terminal

3576 J. Org. Chem. **2009**, 74, 3576–3578

and internal alkynes.⁵ Subsequently, ruthenium,⁶ rhodium,⁷ iridium,⁸ titanium,⁹ and uranium¹⁰ complexes were found to catalyze similar reactions. In most of these processes, however, the internal alkynes were limited to the activated ones bearing an electron-withdrawing group such as a carbonyl or sulfonyl moiety. Recently, some successful examples of the catalytic cross-addition of terminal silvlacetylenes to unactivated terminal and internal alkynes or weakly activated ones such as propargyl ethers have been described.¹¹ Our groups also focused on the catalytic activities of nickel¹² and rhodium complexes and succeeded in the same type of transformation.¹³ In the course of our study of this chemistry, we anticipated that our catalyst systems could be applied to the addition of terminal silvlacetylenes to propargyl amines. The reaction is, to the best of our knowledge, unprecedented, while the expected products, 2- or 3-alkynylallylamines, are not only synthetically useful intermediates but also of pharmaceutical interest, especially the arylated derivatives for treating neurological disorders including Alzheimer's disease.¹⁴ Herein, we report the nickel- and rhodium-catalyzed regio- and stereoselective addition of terminal silylacetylenes to γ -arylated propargyl amines, the regioselectivity being highly catalyst-dependent.

Treatment of *tert*-butyldimethylsilylacetylene (1a) with dimethyl(3-phenyl-2-propynyl)amine (2a) in the presence of Ni(cod)₂ (5 mol %) and an excess (to Ni) of 2,6-lutidine in toluene, which was an effective catalyst system in our previous work,^{13a} gave the desired adduct **3aa** and its regioisomer **4aa** in a ratio of 94:6 with a good combined yield (Table 1, entry 1). The stereochemistry of each product was unambiguously determined by NOE analysis (see the Supporting Information). Notably, the corresponding stereoisomers were not detected. In

- (7) (a) Weng, W.; Guo, C.; Celenligil-cetin, R.; Foxman, B. M.; Ozerov,
 O. V. Chem. Commun. 2006, 197. (b) Ito, J.; Kitase, M.; Nishiyama, H.
 Organometallics 2007, 26, 6412.
- (8) Hirabayashi, T.; Sakaguchi, S.; Ishii, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 872.
- (9) Akita, M.; Yasuda, H.; Nakamura, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1984, 57, 480.

^{(1) (}a) Trost, B. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1995**, 34, 259. (b) Tsuji, J. Transition Metal Reagents and Catalysts; Wiley: Chichester, UK, 2000. (c) Bustelo, E.; Dixneuf, P. H. Handbook of C-H Transformations; Dyker, G., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005; Vol. 1, pp 62–72.

 ^{(2) (}a) Ohshita, J.; Fukumori, K.; Matsuguchi, A.; Ishikawa, M. J. Org. Chem.
 1990, 55, 3277. (b) Duchateau, R.; van Wee, C. T.; Meetsma, A.; Teuben, J. H.
 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4391. (c) Chuluo, Y.; Nolan, S. P. J. Org. Chem.
 2002, 67, 591. (d) Ogoshi, S.; Ueta, M.; Oka, M.; Kurosawa, H. Chem. Commun.
 2004, 2732. (e) Ogata, K.; Toyota, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 4139.

⁽³⁾ Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z.; Wakatsuki, Y.; Yamaki, T.; Miyamoto, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, *125*, 1184.

^{(4) (}a) Giacomelli, G.; Marcacci, F.; Caporusso, A. M.; Lardicci, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, *20*, 3217. (b) Dash, A. K.; Eisen, M. S. Org. Lett. **2000**, *2*, 737.

^{(5) (}a) Trost, B. M.; Chan, C.; Ruhter, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, 109, 3486. (b) Trost, B. M.; Sorum, M. T.; Chan, C.; Harms, A. E.; Ruhter, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 698. (c) Trost, B. M.; McIntosh, M. C. Tetrahedron Lett. **1997**, 38, 3207. See also: (d) Lucking, U.; Pfaltz, A. Synlett **2000**, 1261. (e) Chen, L.; Li, C.-J. Tetrahedron Lett. **2004**, 45, 2771.

⁽⁶⁾ Yi, C. S.; Liu, N. Organometallics 1998, 17, 3158.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Wang, J.; Kapon, M.; Berthet, J. C.; Ephritikhine, M.; Eisen, M. S. Inorg. Chem. Chim. Acta 2002, 334, 183.

⁽¹¹⁾ Ruthenium: (a) Katayama, H.; Yari, H.; Tanaka, M.; Ozawa, F. Chem. Commun. 2005, 4336. Palladium: (b) Tsukada, N.; Ninomiya, S.; Aoyama, Y.; Inoue, Y. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2919. Iridium: (c) Ogata, K.; Oka, O.; Toyota, A.; Suzuki, N.; Fukuzawa, S. Synlett 2008, 2663. Rhodium: (d) Nishimura, T.; Guo, X.-X.; Ohnishi, K.; Hayashi, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 2669. See also: (e) Nishimura, T.; Guo, X.-X.; Hayashi, T. Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 1505. (f) Nshimura, T.; Tsurumaki, E.; Kawamoto, T.; Guo, X.-X.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4057.

⁽¹²⁾ Pioneering work of nickel-catalyzed cross-dimerization between terminal and internal alkynes: (a) Ishikawa, M.; Ohshita, J.; Ito, Y.; Minato, A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1988**, 804. In recent years, Suginome reported nickel-catalyzed addition of triisopropylsilylacetylene to 1,3-dienes and styrenes. (b) Shirakura, M.; Suginome, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, *130*, 5410. (c) Shirakura, M.; Suginome, M. Org. Lett. **2009**, *11*, 523. Nickel-catalyzed selective cross-trimerization: (d) Ogata, K.; Murayama, H.; Sugasawa, J.; Suzuki, N.; Fukuzawa, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, *131*, 3176.

⁽¹³⁾ Nickel: (a) Matsuyama, N.; Tsurugi, H.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 2274. Rhodium: (b) Katagiri, T.; Tsurugi, H.; Funayama, A.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Chem. Lett. 2007, 36, 830. (c) Katagiri, T.; Tsurugi, H.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3405. See also: (d) Satoh, T.; Tsurugi, H.; Miura, M. Chem. Rec. 2008, 8, 326.

^{(14) (}a) Egle, I.; Frey, J.; Isaac, M. PCT Int. Appl. 2001, WO 2001032602,
A1 20010510 (CAN 134:340706). (b) Egle, I.; Frey, J.; Isaac, M. U.S. Pat. 2002,
US 6426364, B1 20020730 (CAN 137:124979). (c) Woods, S. W. PCT Int. Appl. 2006, WO 2006110724, A2 20061019 (CAN 145:432223).

TABLE 1.Nickel-Catalyzed Addition of Terminal Silylacetylenes1 to Propargylamines $2a - e^a$



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: [1]:[2]:[Ni(cod)₂] = 0.75:0.25:0.0125 (in mmol), in toluene/2,6-lutidine (2.4:0.1 mL) under N₂ at rt for 24 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. The corresponding stereoisomers were not observed. ^{*c*} Determined by GC analysis in the crude mixture.

TABLE 2.Nickel-Catalyzed Addition oftert-Butyldimethylsilylacetylene (1a) to Propargylamines $2ca-cf^{\alpha}$

	H Al	r 2,6-lutidin toluene, r N ^j Pr ₂	li(cod) ₂ le t, 24 h /BuMe ₂ Si
	1a	2ca–2cf	5
_	entry	Ar in 2	5 , % yield ^b
	1	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ (2ca)) 5aa , 92
	2	$4-MeOC_{6}H_{4}$ (20	5ab , 87
	3	$4-CF_{3}C_{6}H_{4}$ (2cc	5ac , 98
	4	$4-ClC_{6}H_{4}$ (2cd)	5ad , 75
	5	4-MeO ₂ C (2ce)	5ae , 97
	6	$4-\mathrm{NCC}_6\mathrm{H}_4$ (2cf)	5af , 82

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: [1a]:[2]:[Ni(cod)₂] = 0.75:0.25:0.0125 (in mmol), in toluene/2,6-lutidine (2.4:0.1 mL) under N₂ at rt for 24 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. No corresponding regio- and stereoisomer was detected.

addition, the reaction proceeded smoothly at room temperature, whereas the addition to propargyl ethers required elevated temperatures.^{13a} Thus, the result may indicate that the propargyl amine has higher reactivity under nickel catalysis, compared to the corresponding ethers. The regioisomeric ratio of **3**:**4** increased from 94:6 to over 99:1 with increasing the size of substituents on nitrogen (entries 2 and 3). Piperidine and morpholine derivatives **2d** and **2e** also participated in the reaction without any difficulties (entries 4 and 5). Other terminal silylacetylenes were available for use. Both smaller trimethyl-silylacetylene (**1b**) and bulkier triisopropylsilylacetylene (**1c**) reacted with **2a** to furnish **3ba** and **3ca**, respectively, with compatible regioselectivities (entries 6 and 7).

With *tert*-butyldimethylsilylacetylene (1a) as the terminal silylacetylene, we also examined the substituent effect on the phenyl moiety of 2c (Table 2). Electron-rich 2cb and electron-deficient 2cc as well as electron-neutral 2ca were converted to the corresponding enynes 5aa-ac in high yields with excellent regioselectivities (entries 1-3). The reaction with 2cd produced 5ad in a good yield, leaving the sp² C–Cl moiety untouched (entry 4). Ester and nitrile functionalities were also tolerated under the reaction conditions (entries 5 and 6).¹⁵

TABLE 3.Rhodium-Catalyzed Addition oftert-Butyldimethylsilylacetylene (1a) to Propargylamines $2a-c^a$



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: [1a]:[2]:[{Rh(OH)(cod)}₂]:[dCypb] = 0.75:0.25: 0.0038:0.0075 (in mmol), in *o*-xylene (2.5 mL) at 150 °C under N₂ for 4 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. No corresponding regioisomer was detected. ^{*c*} Determined by ¹H NMR.

TABLE 4.Catalytic Addition of *tert*-Butyldimethylsilylacetylene(1a) to Propargylamide $2f^{\alpha}$



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: a mixture of **1a** (0.75 mmol), **2f** (0.25 mmol), and catalyst/ligand was stirred under the indicated conditions. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} The ratio was determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*d*} E/Z = 90:10.

Next, in order to explore an efficient route to 2-alkynylallylamine **4**, we attempted to switch the regioselectivity in the reaction by ligand control. However, the approach was unsuccessful as far as we examined. According to our previous observation, ^{13b,c} we then turned our attention to rhodium-based processes (Table 3). Gratifyingly, upon treatment of **1a** with **2a** in the presence of [Rh(OH)(cod)]₂/dCypb (dCypb = 1,4bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)butane) catalyst in *o*-xylene at 150 °C (bath temperature), the corresponding 2-alkynylated product **4aa** was obtained as the sole regioisomer in 92% yield with good stereoselectivity (entry 1). Bulkier substitutions on nitrogen improved the stereoselectivity (entries 2 and 3).

The catalytic addition to amide **2f** containing an acidic proton on nitrogen was also performed (Table 4). The reaction of **2f** using the Ni(cod)₂/2,6-lutidine system resulted in the formation of an 81:19 mixture of **3af** and **4af** with only 21% combined yield (entry 1). After some additional ligand investigation, the use of 4,5-bis(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene (xantphos) instead of 2,6-lutidine at 120 °C was found to lead to the corresponding adducts in 75% combined yield. However, to our surprise, a different regioselectivity was observed, and thus, the major regioisomer was enyne **4af** (entry 2). Although the exact

⁽¹⁵⁾ Unfortunately, the reaction with alkyl-substituted substrates such as diethyl(2-heptynyl)amine was unsuccessful. A large amount of the starting material was recovered intact.

JOC Note

reason is not clear at this stage, the uniquely large bite angle of xantphos would cause the unusual regioselectivity. It is note-worthy that the amide 2f was converted successfully to 4af by using the [Rh(OH)(cod)]₂/dCypb system (entry 3).

In summary, we have found the nickel- and rhodiumcatalyzed regio- and stereoselective addition of terminal silylacetylenes to propargyl amines and developed the facile methods for the preparation of allylamine-moiety-containing π -conjugated enynes of synthetic value. Notably, both regioisomers bearing a versatile silyl function can be selectively synthesized from the same starting materials by the proper choice of catalysts.

Experimental Section

Typical Procedure for Nickel-Catalyzed Addition of Terminal Silylacetylenes to Propargyl Amines. The reaction of tertbutyldimethylsilylacetylene (1a) with dimethyl(3-phenyl-2-propynyl)amine (2a) is representative (Table 1, entry 1). In a glovebox filled with nitrogen, Ni(cod)₂ (0.050 M toluene solution, 0.25 mL, 0.013 mmol), 1a (105 mg, 0.75 mmol), 2a (40 mg, 0.25 mmol), 2,6-lutidine (0.10 mL), toluene (2.4 mL), and dibenzyl (ca. 50 mg, internal standard) were placed in a Schlenk tube. The tube was then taken outside the glovebox, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. After the consumption of the starting materials was checked by GC analysis, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with hexane/ethyl acetate (80:20, v/v) as an eluent to furnish a mixture of (E)-[5-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-3-phenyl-2-penten-4-ynyl]dimethylamine (3aa) and (E)-[2-benzylidene-4-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-3-butynyl]dimethylamine [(E)-4aa]. Further purification by preparative TLC (PTLC) afforded analytically pure 3aa (54 mg, 0.18 mmol) and (E)-4aa (4.5 mg, 0.011 mmol) in 72% and 6% yields, respectively. **3aa**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.13 (s, 6H), 0.95 (s, 9H), 2.20 (s, 6H), 3.09 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 6.31 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.38 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –4.6, 16.7, 26.1, 45.7, 57.8, 91.1, 107.0, 125.8, 127.6, 128.0, 128.8, 136.9, 137.7; HRMS *m*/*z* (M⁺) calcd for C₁₉H₂₉NSi, 299.2069. Found 299.2075. (*E*)-**4aa**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.15 (s, 6H), 0.98 (s, 9H), 2.33 (s, 6H), 3.09 (s, 2H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 7.23–7.30 (m, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –4.6, 16.8, 26.2, 45.4, 59.7, 92.2, 109.7, 127.7, 128.0, 128.2, 129.6, 136.3, 140.6; HRMS *m*/*z* (M⁺) calcd for C₁₉H₂₉NSi, 299.2069. Found 299.2073.

Typical Procedure for Rhodium-Catalyzed Addition of Terminal Silylacetylenes to Propargyl Amines. The reaction of *tert*-butyldimethylsilylacetylene (1a) with dimethyl(3-phenyl-2-propynyl)amine (2a) is representative (Table 3, entry 1). In a glovebox filled with nitrogen, [Rh(OH)(cod)]₂ (1.7 mg, 0.0038 mmol), dCypb (3.4 mg, 0.0075 mmol), 1a (105 mg, 0.75 mmol), 2a (40 mg, 0.25 mmol), *o*-xylene (2.5 mL), and dibenzyl (ca. 50 mg, internal standard) were placed in a Schlenk tube, and the tube was then taken outside the glovebox. After being heated at 150 °C for 4 h, the consumption of the starting materials was confirmed by GC analysis. The solvent was evaporated and purification of the residue by column chromatography on silica gel produced 4aa (69 mg, 0.23 mmol, *E/Z* = 91:9) in 92% yield.

Acknowledgment. This work was partly supported by Grantsin-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan.

Supporting Information Available: Characterization data of compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO900474D